

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/430,052	10/29/1999	MATTHEW T. CRISFIELD	5010/099	6709
28286 75	590 06/04/2002		\wedge	<i>i</i>
IP PATENTS			EXAMINER	
FAEGRE & BI 1900 FIFTEEN	TH STREET		MARTIR, LILYBETT	
BOULDER, CO	80302		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2855	
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e			MC
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/430,052	CRISFIELD ET AL.	
,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lilybett Martir	2855	
Th MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 01 April 2002 FAILS TO PLACE TI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application in a standard and a standard which are standard and a stan	ation. A proper reply the places the application	/ to a tion in
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires months from the mail b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAY 706.07(f).	s Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth e later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TI	ng date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the periodee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	I of extension and the corresponding amo of the shortened statutory period for reply ffice later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appropriate or the final (opriate extension Office action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	c's Brief must be filed within the pe FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	her consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	·	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims	S .
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	amendment
5. ∑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: S		dered but does NOT	Fplace the
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 			-
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims v	nt(s) a)[汉] will not be entered or b) would be rejected is provided belo)□ will be entered a w or appended.	nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u> ~ l </u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	_		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	- Mild	ر
0. Other:		Benjamin R. F Supervisory Patent Technology Cyriy	Examiner

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The final rejection is proper since the applicant extensively amended independent claim 1 adding limitations regarding the positioning of the elements of his claimed invention. The references used and cited in said final rejection are references from analogous art. The finality is not withdrawn.

Benjamin R. Fuller Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800